

E.O.

Treasury Department,

BUREAU OF THE MINT,

Washington, D. C., January 27, 1882.

A. London Snowden, Esq.,

Supt. U. S. Mint,

Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:-

Referring to voucher No. 2 of your medal fund account for the quarter ended December 31, 1881, bill of Charles E. Barber for engraving wood blocks of the Garfield and Lincoln medal, electrotyping the same, and for printing 1000 cards in relation to the Garfield medal, amounting to \$873-00, I have to inform you that the First Comptroller has decided that

a payment of this kind, to an officer of the Mint, is in conflict with - Section 1,765 of the Revised Statutes, being "compensation" for "other service".

The question arose on a similar case of compensation for service rendered by an officer of the Government, disconnected with his official duty. This would also, if presented as a bill for an article furnished by the Engraver, be in conflict with - Section 11, page 20 of the Regulations.

Very respectfully,
Horatio B. Marchand

Director.

131

Recd.

Wash. D.C.

January 27/82

H. C. Burdick

Bill of Char. E. Barber,
 4 Dec/81, \$8.75 for wood
 engraving & electrotyping
 G. & L. medals, rejected
 by 1st printer because
 in conflict with 1765,
 R. & S.

Rec^d - Jan. 28th 1882.

[Abstract:] Bill of Chas. E. Barber... 131

E.O.L.

Treasury Department,
Bureau of the Mint,
Washington, D.C.,
January 27, 1882

A. Loudon Snowden, Esq.,
Supt. U.S. Mint,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir: -

Referring to voucher No. 2 of your medal fund account for the quarter ended December 31, 1881, bill of Charles E. Barber for engraving wood blocks of the Garfield and Lincoln medal, electrotyping the same, and for printing 1000 cards in relation to the Garfield medal, amounting to \$8.75, I have to inform you that the First Comptroller has decided that a payment of this kind, to an officer of the Mint, is in conflict with Section 1,765 of the Revised Statutes, being "compensation" for "other service".

The question arose on a similar case of compensation for service rendered by an officer of the Government, disconnected with his official duty. This would also, if presented as a bill for an article furnished by the Engraver, be in conflict with Section 11, page 20 of the Regulations.

Very respectfully,
Horatio C. Burchard
Director.